



Frequently Asked Video Review Questions for the 2017-18 Season*

- 1. Question:** Who makes the decision to utilize video review, and how is that decision relayed to the participating institutions?

SRE Answer: the host institution/organization determines whether video review will be used, and if so, the number of mats and rounds. The hosting institution/organization is required by rule 3.13.2 to notify the participating institutions prior to weigh-ins.

- 2. Question:** are institutions required to use a third-party reviewer?

SRE Answer: no, institutions have the option of utilizing third-party video review official but are not required to do so. If a third-party reviewer is not utilized, the head referee on the mat will execute the reviews as prescribed in the rules book under section 3.13.

- 3. Question:** if an institution utilizes a third-party video reviewer, who does the coach explain the review to after throwing the brick and the match is stopped?

SRE Answer: the coach will explain the review to the third-party reviewer. The referee on the mat may listen to this request but shall not participate in the review itself. After the third – party review is complete, the referee on the mat is informed of the results and will announce the results, and ensure the match is restarted properly.

- 4. Question:** if an event is utilizing a third-party video reviewer, can the referee on the mat request the third-party reviewer to consult on a referee video review?

SRE Answer: no, third-party video reviewers may only execute video reviews on coach requested challenges. The third-party reviewer may not request, nor be asked, to participate in a referee initiated review.

- 5. Question:** if an event is utilizing a third-party video reviewer, who decides whether a coach requested video review has been timely requested.

SRE Answer: video review rules require the coach to immediately throw the brick on a video review challenge. Per rule 3.13.9.f, it is the referee (not the 3rd party reviewer) who makes the determination on whether the coach delayed throwing the foam brick onto the mat. If the referee believes the coach did delay in requesting the video review challenge, the referee can deny the request. If a referee denies a video review request because of timeliness, the institution will still be charged for using a video review timeout.



6. **Question:** video review rules now require a red and green foam brick with dimensions not to exceed 8" x 5" x 5". How strict should we be in regards to the dimensions indicated in the rules book?

SRE Answer: the rules committee is not interested in penalizing institutions who are off slightly from the suggested dimensions. However, the bricks should adhere as closely as possible to the stated dimensions. There is no flexibility on the fact that the bricks should be made of a foam material and that one should be red and one green.

7. **Question:** what happens if the referee arrives and realizes the institution has no bricks whatsoever, or the bricks dimensions are excessively small/large, or are not made from foam?

SRE Answer: the referee should notify the hosting institution/organization to allow them to correct the deficiency. If the deficiency is not corrected by the start of the meet, video review shall not be allowed.

*Please reference your 2017 – 2018 NCAA Wrestling Rules Book for all of the rules related to video review.

New interpretations will be added to the 2017-19 NCAA Wrestling Case Book.

For additional questions please contact Chuck Barbee at NCAAruleseditor@gmail.com