



Teleconference Clarifications

After discussing the 1-23-2018 teleconference, we would like to reinforce a couple of points that may have not been completely clear.

- 1. There is only one instance in which a coach video review request may be retracted. That instance is outlined in the casebook under approved ruling 3-8 which is copied below:**

A.R. 3-8. Is there ever an instance when the coach, after throwing the brick, may retract the request for a video review?

RULING: Yes, there is one instance in which a coach video review request may be retracted. When the coach throws the brick onto the mat indicating a challenge, they are challenging the call on the mat made by the referee. If the referee stops the match and before consulting with the coach, changes the call on the mat, the coach may elect to retract the video review. (Rule 3.13.9d)

- 2. In regards to the question about delays caused by shoes and/or shoelaces.**

Per rule 5.7.16 these delays are penalized by issuing a stalling call. The stalling call affords the wrestler the opportunity to correct the shoes and/or shoelaces without further penalty. In extenuating circumstances in which the delay is above and beyond a simple taping and/or shoe or shoelace correction, the referee does still have the ability to charge the wrestler with an injury timeout per Rule 1.9.3.

- 3. Finally, referees are reminded that the Case Book is periodically updated. These updates are followed by an email announcement. When you receive this email announcement you should make a point of downloading the most recent version for your use.**

Tim Shiels
National Coordinator of Officials
shieltjs@gmail.com

Chuck Barbee
Secretary – Rules Editor
ncaaruleseeditor@gmail.com